

### Abstract

For legitimisation of their own invasion and colonisation of India. The Aryan Invasion Theory or AIT was designed to suit the benefactors and systematically reject the lack of evidence in favour of the theory meanwhile basing the theory on mere assumptions and no science. Sadly, for years Indians have believed it and looked down upon North Indians as Aryans or outsiders. In this study, use of word Indian Aryan, we will see why the AIT is a fraud and a well-planned treachery to Indians and the world as a whole for distorting the facts and feeding lies about the most ancient urban civilisation and genetics of Indians.

### Introduction

To get to know all this hullabaloo, we will first understand the meaning of this word “Aryan”. The word in itself is a bit feared and we all know why, but if this is not the time to denounce it, nobody knows when will. The word Aryan Indian actually means a person with Aryan ancestry who is a member of a race native to India, according to Mariam Webster dictionary. The term is of Sanskrit origin and has been in use in English only since 1839. The word when traced back to the ancient Vedic literature does not refer to any race or ethnic group. The Aryan word denotes good, nobility according to Manu Smriti. By that logic, I hope every man, woman and any other gender should start being an Aryan.

### What is the theory?

As we see in the following picture, a certain group of people who seemed to be lacking resources and had anything but horses and barbarism, migrated in millions from Russia and Kazakhstan and other Central Asian and European countries to India, searching the same stuff Ghoris, Turks, Greeks and the British came – to loot and colonise.

According to the theory, Sanskrit is a language brought by these invaders and imposed on the natives. What must be mentioned here is that there is no solid proof of any physical violence of an invasion. A certain number of remains have been founded, none of them yielding proof of any attack of such sort. Given that Harappans already belonged to the most sophisticated and advanced civilisation of its time, had mastered the use of bronze and being spread from Jammu to Gujarat, did not resist – seems suspicious. Also, coming back to the language Sanskrit, hailed by nearly every linguist, of course, if they do not suffer from Xenophobia or Eurocentrism, is an Indo-European language. Provided that Aryans came from Russia and central Asia, only 2 of the Russian languages are Indo-European, none having any significant portion of Sanskrit speaking people. Also suspicious is the waves in which this claimed invasion happened, the first being in millions and the second in only thousands. For once, let us assume that the invasion did happen, now this leaves us very little time to compose all the literature in Sanskrit. There is no

such godly brilliance endowed on Aryans that they transformed a Proto Indo-European language into epics like Mahabharata, Ramayana etc. 1

The war of colour between the light skinned Aryans and dark-skinned natives was used as an argument to make Indians strangers to their own land while this situation is found between Egyptians and Persians, do we find any invasion theory there. No.

Anthropologists observe that the population of Gujarat are composed of the same ethnic groups as were there in Lothal, same being the case with Punjab. Yet another evidence of continuation of the same group of inhabitants calling themselves Aryans.

Now, a number of times, Aryans are accused of racism and de-humanising the Dravidians, who claim to be the original inhabitants, which again cannot be proved unless we conduct a huge genetic search. A claim proves nothing. The difference in appearances is result of climate, food habits, temperature in which the child is being born etc. By that logic, no Indian is blue eyed blonde, this sentence only can refute the whole bunch of geniuses bent on proving AIT. Even the Nazis themselves did not consider Indians to be pure Aryans but rejects. "The Nazis defined Nordics as being identified by their tall stature (average 175 cm [5 ft 9 in]), their long faces, their prominent chins, their narrow and straight or aquiline noses with a high base, their lean builds, their straight and light hair, their light eyes, and their fair skin.<sup>[8]</sup> The Nazis regarded the Germans as well as the English, Danish, Norwegian, and Swedish as the most racially pure in Europe". [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nazi\\_racial\\_theories](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nazi_racial_theories).

Coming to the Dravidian language that is hailed to be entirely different from Vedic civilisation, submits itself as an invention of Rishi Agastya, one of the legendary seer in Rig Veda.

Endowing the entire Vedic culture as product of AIT couldn't be more wrong.

"Traditionally, as we find in the Manusamhita (2.17-18), Vedic culture was founded by the sage Manu between the banks of the Sarasvati and Drishadvati Rivers. And the Sarasvati River was the main river in the Rig Veda, which, according to modern land studies, was a massive and important river at the time (before 1900 BCE). Only after this did the emphasis shift to the sacred Ganga (Ganges) River. This would indicate that the Vedic tradition is indeed a product of the area of ancient India", Stephen Knapp in his book The Aryan Invasion Theory: The Final Nail in Its Coffin.



source : Quora

## The White Conspiracy

When Thomas Macaulay came to the conclusion that in order to prevent any further 1857 type revolutions, and make Indians especially high caste Hindus lose faith in their own religion and convert to Christianity.

“Our English schools are flourishing wonderfully. The effect of this education on the Hindus is prodigious... It is my belief that if our plans of education are followed up, there will not be a single idolator among the respectable classes in Bengal thirty years hence. And this will be affected without any efforts to proselytise, without the smallest interference with religious liberty, by natural operation of knowledge and reflection. I heartily rejoice in the project”, wrote Macaulay to his father.

This was one of the many results of Indians ignoring their own history and leaving it at the hands of whites who didn't spare any chance to look down upon us. Coming back to Thomas, he hired a scholar named Max Muller, a poor German boy who was in need of funding to fuel his career, and what could have been better than a faux theory suiting the agenda of Brits. Interestingly, later Muller himself spent 30 years of his life denouncing the theory, claiming Aryans as those who speak Aryan language. “I have declared again and again that if I say Aryan, I mean neither blood nor bones, nor skull nor hair; I mean simply those who speak the Aryan language... To me an ethnologist who speaks of Aryan blood, Aryan race, Aryan eyes and hair is as great a sinner as a linguist who speaks of brachycephalic grammar”, says Muller. Maybe in a zeal to rectify his wrong doings or maybe seeking some favour from poor browns, he later dedicated Sacred Books of The East applauding the Asians of their culture.


Ignoring ancient texts to suit and mould them in order to prove the AIT continued for long which today finds itself in our textbooks. We ourselves allowed West to take the charge to humiliate us by not discovering our treasures.

### The Damages Done

While Aurobindo Ghosh, Tilak, Dayanand Saraswati was one of the first to refute the AIT, a lot of support comes from Muslim apologists and communists who claim North Indians to be invaders, in order to coat the savage acts done by both. When the excavations were done on the sites of Indus Valley, there was no systematic destruction of temples, figures as was done to Somnath and innumerable Hindoo Temples, which I must say, is well described in length by Muslim authors themselves. Talking about Communism, even if AIT was real, it didn't kill as many as people as communism did. At least, somewhere communism wins.

Coming back to the damages, the primary being psychological, Hindus denying any usefulness of their culture. Accusing Hinduism of being outdated, sexist, evil, old fashioned and all sorts of slurs by Indians themselves, AIT has been pretty successful.

Saraswati, the river on which many major sites of Indus Valley civilisation were dotted, is declared mythical. Wait for the day, we declare Ganga mythical! According to an excavation in Bhirrana, Saraswati was not only real but existed long more than 9,500 years ago or even older. Many such sites existed on the course of this “Mythical” river. Rajasthan and Gujarat which as we know today are dry and deserted, were not so. Gradual shift of monsoons and drying of Saraswati led to fall of the civilisation. It was systematic and one after the other rather abrupt.



source <https://hindupost.in/history/the-aryan-invasion-myth-how-21st-century-science-debunks-19th-century-indology/>

Due to drying of the river, there were migrations from India to west, God knows who else accuses Indians of invading.

Next damage done was distortion of dates. We should keep in mind that Muller was a Christian and they have a different timeline of Earth and hence quite wrong, if I must say. The dates he used were denounced by himself later. This is what we call Frawley's Paradox.

Another was the info-demic of Harappans being unknown to horses and they were introduced by Aryans who used the horses to attack. Now let us assume the Aryans attacked the Harappans, the horses must have died. The remains excavated had 34 ribs, unlike Central Asian horses who have 36 ribs. The renowned archaeologist, Dr SR Rao, concluded, after drying of the river and excavation of various sites, bones of horses have been found from Mature and Late Harappan phase. Sir John Marshall, the head of Archaeological Survey of India, confirms having found remains of a horse

No Christian or Muslim would read or accept or even allow an interpretation of their holy texts by Hindus but the latter readily do so, reason being a deep inferiority complex. Reducing all Hindu scriptures as fantastic fantasies and exaggeration to humiliate Hindus has been a long process and needs to be undone if we are to survive and continue the pride of our civilisation. Instead of an Aryan Invasion, there has been a Christian invasion which is still going on.

The prime culprits are Indians though. Even today, Indians passively accept it and allow their minds to be perverted, seeking validation from the west. My question is what for, why and until when. Indians need to know and decide, read their texts and for the love of God not by English translators.

Who are the North Indians?

As has been already explained, the relatively fair, who could not pass the skin tests of Brits during colonisation but apparently were Aryans, these North Indian men are not invaders but our own men and inheritors of the Indus Valley Civilisation or IVC, just like Dravidians. The Dravidians share their space with not just Indians but Africans, the Siddi community residing in Gujarat, Karnataka, Andhra, now who invaded who? If we go by races and skin tones and features, Russians primarily have blue eyes, but all the specialised features of Aryans while Indians especially North Indians are neither that tall, nor blonde. Malik Kafur, one of the celebrated and most beloved slave of Alauddin was believed to be African descent, did Khilji invade Africa, no. Kafur might have been traded. Entry of a different variety of people is not invasion unless they colonise or force to convert as India has faced. Invasion was what happened to Kashmiri Hindus, still happening. India has quite a fair share of oppressors and oppressed. Given how the similarity of languages, structures and culture continued and evolved, an invasion is nearly impossible.

Another argument is the difference in food habits and level of developments between IVC and Rig Vedic Age, the former being urban and the latter being pastoralist. The drying of Saraswati river, led to reduction in resources, hence agriculture did not flourish that well, moreover with shifting of livelihood towards the east, travel and trade might have reduced hence the only option left being pastoralism, and hence came the concept of protecting cows. In order to make it must, it was related to religion and later on related to Hero Krishna, who was a guala or caretaker of cows. In fact, Goa was known as Gomantak in Mahabharata <http://www.goachamber.org/item/brief-history-of-go/#:~:text=Goa%E2%80%93The%20Name%E2%80%93In%20the,who%20gave%20Goa%20its%20name>.

Again the question of colour has been raised, which is so simple but naïve minds reject it. Italians are Europeans but do they share any similarity with Brits, no. Colour varies, there are a lot of brahmins in south who are fair and a lot of pundits are fair in North and that can be directly related to temperature differences. Majority of people be it Dalits of brahmanas living in hilly areas of Himachal, Assam, Uttarakhand are fair.

The higher castes being fair and loving and promoting the fair skin is half truth. Had this actually been true Draupadi would have been considered fair rather she was dark and it took her years to realise her beauty. She was not only a high caste but a blessing by God, considering that the Aryans had Aryan gods, they should have blessed Draupadi with less melanin but they did not. India has always been in touch with a variety of skin tones. Krishnadevaraya was a south Indian and yet not dark. Krishna is worshipped equally by North and South Indians. In fact Rama, the hero of Mahabharata was also not fair, not to mention he was a kshatriya.

Migrations may and do take place. Greeks, Shakas , Persians, Arabs, Jews, Muslims and much more people have so far migrated to India, a land full of resources, but equating it with invasions is a lie.

Historians have also conducted researches on skeletons and it shows no such Steppe ancestry while now Indians do have such case. The ancestry can easily be result of mixing of several genes by decades, say , Jahangir was son of a Hindu and a Muslim, so was Shahjahan, by that logic the Mughal Empire is Hindu. Is it? Do not come for me, I just reverse checked. Another issue raised is that is unlikely that Indians managed to spread the linguistic link to Iceland. An article sites Syria as the originator of Sanskrit, the other day I saw a comment claiming Persia is the land of Sanskrit, if that is the case, English and not Sanskrit is the most powerful language, I wonder how many of Sanskrit speakers today exist there, well they might have if they were not converted if you know what I mean.

<https://scroll.in/article/737715/fact-check-india-wasnt-the-first-place-sanskrit-was-recorded-it-was-syria>

The tablets of Mittani empire have a few words of Sanskrit and provide one of the first written evidence of Sanskrit and hence its their language and now Aryans have Middle Eastern ancestry as well, please don't credit Aryans with such feats. India and America today are largest speakers of English but the language came from England, any sane mind would get my point here. It is to be kept in mind that innumerable manuscripts have been lost to time or ignorance, who knows we had the most ancient record of written Sanskrit. Languages are fluid and so were boundaries these days, no visa no charges, it is quite possible, I am repeating it, that there have been migrations, but neither that large not any invasion and imposition as such, had it actually been an invasion why did the Aryans not enslave Dravidians, given that they are invaders and would like to claim all of the India like Dalhousie, they did not though. Servants did exist in India and still do, I doubt it can be called slavery. Even the blame of dividing India and excluding previous ruling class from the kshatriya tag seems refutable. Why would the strong men who know how to use weapons and those who trade have money subdue themselves to Brahmanas, given how submissive Harappans were, the invaders did not need any legitimacy as they did during Sultanate time, using legitimacy of an alien God figure to rule Indians, majority being Hindus. And even more submissive role was given to the natives, who very well knowing the landscape, the resources, allowed themselves to be chased off from their very land, this is somewhat similar to Aborigines, Red Indians, and Kashmiri Hindu. When America invaded Vietnam with all her modern weapons, Vietnamese showed them how the game is played. No Western power has been able to win the East militarily to date, in fact Asians have played a major role in army of the whites in order to subdue the whites, so the question is how did Aryans invade India, if they did, what is the proof, solid proof, did the environment play no role in end of Harappan civilisation, and if nothing happened why all these lies being fed by Indians to Indians

## Conclusion

A lot of questions remain unanswered and so does the zeal to alienate high caste Hindus. Aryan Invasion theory is highly debated and cannot be refuted by mere arguments but cannot be proven either. In this research, a number of reasons have been given, reports been cited, questions raised and unanswered, to continue further researches on AIT.